

Date: July 20, 2016

# City of Beaverton Request for Qualifications

## Hotel Development Opportunity at Westgate Site

Solicitation #3115-17B

### DATE & TIME PROPOSALS ARE DUE: August 26, 2016 at 2:00 PM

A non-mandatory pre-proposal conference and site visit will be held on July 25, 2016 at 1:30 pm in the City of Beaverton's Council Chambers, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor of The Beaverton Building, 12725 SW Millikan Way, Beaverton, OR 97005.

Interested proposers may participate by conference call 1-866-809-6529. Please use the Guest Code of 7243642#, but be advised that the site visit will not be an option if conference call option is used.

Responses to this Request for Qualifications shall be submitted in sealed envelopes and labeled with the Project Title. Respondents must submit one (1) unbound original response, four (4) additional hard copies, and a CD or jump drive with an electronic copy.

#### **SUBMIT PROPOSAL TO:**

Terry Muralt, Purchasing Agent
City of Beaverton
Purchasing Division – Finance Department
Fourth Floor of The Beaverton Building
12725 SW Millikan Way
PO Box 4755
Beaverton, OR 97076
503-526-2229

This document is available in alternate formats, such as large print, audiotape, oral presentation and electronic format. To request an alternate format, call the City of Beaverton at 503-526-2228 or TDD 503-526-2506.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SUBJECT MATTER		<u>PAGE</u>	
TAB	LE OF CONTENTS	2	
LEG	AL ADVERTISEMENT	3	
SEC'	TION 1: INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	4	
1.1	Introduction	4	
1.2	Background Information	4	
SEC'	TION 2: REQUIREMENTS, CRITERIA AND RESOURCES	7	
2.1	Submission Requirements and Evaluation Criteria	7	
2.2	Partnership Opportunities and Resources	9	
SEC'	TION 3: SUBMISSION AND EVALUATION PROCESS	11	
3.1	RFQ Timeline	11	
3.2	Non-Mandatory Pre-Proposal Conference	11	
3.3	Proposal Submittal Addresses and Number of Original and Copies	11	
3.4	Submission Deadline	12	
3.5	Review Process	12	
3.6	Award	12	
SEC'	TION 4: PROPOSER'S SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS	13	
4.1	Cost of preparing proposals	13	
4.2	Page Limit	13	
4.3	Commitment to Sustainability	13	
4.4	Interpretations and Addenda	13	
4.5	Contract Administrator	13	
4.6	Public Records	13	
4.7	Disclaimer	14	
SEC'	TION 5: SIGNATURE PAGE	15	

#### LEGAL ADVERTISEMENT

# CITY OF BEAVERTON REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS CENTRAL BEAVERTON HOTEL DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITY AT WESTGATE SITE Solicitation #3115-17B

The City of Beaverton is seeking sealed proposals from development teams to provide an approximately 150-room urban-style business class hotel and destination restaurant development on the southeast corner of the Westgate site, located directly on the northwest side of the SW Rose Biggi Avenue and the Tri-Met light rail crossing. Development teams are invited to submit a proposal outlining their interest, experience, and qualifications in developing hotels in an urbanized context.

A non-mandatory pre-proposal conference and site visit will be held on July 25, 2016 at 1:30 pm in the City of Beaverton's Council Chambers, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor of The Beaverton Building, 12725 SW Millikan Way, Beaverton, OR 97005. Interested proposers may participate by conference call 1-866-809-6529. Please use the Guest Code of 7243642#, but be advised that the site visit will not be an option if conference call option is used.

Sealed proposals will be received until 2:00 pm on August 26, 2016 at The Beaverton Building, Finance Utility Billing Counter on the fourth floor at 12725 SW Millikan Way, Beaverton, Oregon 97005, Attention: Terry L. Muralt, CPPB, Purchasing Agent. There will be no formal opening. Facsimile proposals will not be accepted. Proposals will not be accepted after the stated opening date and time. Late proposals will be returned to the vendor unopened.

Solicitation packets may be downloaded from <a href="http://apps.BeavertonOregon.gov/Bids/">http://apps.BeavertonOregon.gov/Bids/</a> or may be obtained at the address listed above or by calling the Bid Line at 503-526-2228.

Proposers are required to certify non-discrimination in employment practices in accordance with ORS 279A.110(4), and identify resident status as defined in ORS 279A.120(1). Pre-qualification of proposer is not required. All proposers are required to comply with the provisions of Oregon Revised Statutes and Beaverton's Contract Review Board Policy.

The City of Beaverton reserves the right to (1) to postpone award of the contract for a period not to exceed ninety (90) days from date of proposal opening, (2) to waive informalities in the proposals, and (3) to select the proposal which appears to be in the best interest of the City.

PUBLISHED: Daily Journal of Commerce

DATE: July 20, 2016

#### **SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND**

#### 1.1 Introduction

The City of Beaverton is pleased to announce a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) seeking a hotel developer partner to develop an approximately 150 room business class urban-style hotel and destination restaurant to be located at the southeast corner of the publicly owned former Westgate Theater site steps from the Beaverton Central Light Rail Station at The Round. Significant planning has been completed and subsequent development is taking shape throughout the Creekside District, including with ScanlanKemperBard, property owner at The Round, Rembold Properties, the developer of a portion of the Westgate site, and the City with its owner's representative Gerding Edlen Development.

#### 1.2 Background Information

The Westgate site, currently owned by the city and Metro (the Portland area's regional government) will be divided into three parcels with the subject hotel site of approximately 32,500 square feet in size, **Exhibit A**, Lot 3 of Proposed Partition Plat. The two other parcels will be developed by Rembold Properties. The site is bound by SW Rose Biggi Avenue, Tri-Met light rail line, and the future extension of SW Crescent Street.

#### **Rembold Properties**

The City has entered into a Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) with Rembold Properties to develop approximately 215 market-rate residential units and 15 units affordable to households of 80% AMI in two mixed-use buildings to be located on Lots 1 and 2 of the site, **Exhibit B**. Ground-floor retail will be located along SW Rose Biggi Avenue, small office space fronting SW Cedar Hills Boulevard, a few live-work units, residential character streetscape along the extension of SW Crescent Street, roof top deck, amenity areas, and parking located in the rear of the buildings.

#### May 2015 - Beaverton Central Creekside Redevelopment RFQ, Exhibit C

Last summer, the City conducted a competitive process to develop the entire 3.94 acre Westgate Theater site with the potential to look beyond the Westgate boundaries. A Selection Committee recommended the City move forward with negotiations with Rembold Properties (Rembold) whose proposal included two mixed-use residential buildings project, an urban style business class hotel project, as well as a development concept for an Arts Center and Parking Garage at nearby lots on SW Crescent Street, between SW Hall Boulevard and SW Rose Biggi Avenue. As separate projects, the City is progressing with the Beaverton Center for the Arts and a district-serving public parking garage. An update of the Beaverton Center for Arts to city council on June 21, 2016 can be found in **Exhibit D**.

#### Post Beaverton Central Creekside Redevelopment Solicitation

In June 2015, the City Council authorized the Mayor to sign an Exclusive Negotiation Agreement (ENA) with Rembold providing the opportunity to refine the proposed preliminary conceptual plan. An extension of the ENA was approved in December 2015, and in June 2016 the parties signed a Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) for development of the two mixed use buildings on Lots 1 and 2 of the Westgate Theater site.

Rembold and the city, in partnership with Ankrom Moisan Architects, Place Design, and Urban Lens Planning, commissioned the Westgate Framework Redevelopment Plan, **Exhibit E**, which provides a framework for development on the Westgate site, including but not limited to uses, site and building layout, schematic building

design, streetscape, 100% corner emphasis, street and access locations, parking, and adjacencies, while integrating with the existing area of the Round and contemplating future development along Crescent Street.

The Framework Plan built upon the work of the City's Creekside District Master Plan (2014), the Beaverton Community Vision (2010), the Beaverton Civic Plan (2011), the Beaverton Urban Renewal Plan (2011) and the Metro 2040 Growth Concept, with the envisioned uses of the Rembold team's conceptual plan for Westgate and the surrounding areas. Since the Framework Plan was completed, the Beaverton Community Vision Plan Update was adopted earlier this year, **Exhibit F.** 

In December 2015, the City also signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with O'Reilly Hospitality (O'Reilly) to develop a 150 room Cambria Hotel at the southeast corner of Westgate (subject site). The MOU expired on June 30, 2016. Although the MOU period did not result in an agreed to DDA, significant progress was made to better define the site, including the boundaries of Lot 3 and the configuration of the adjacent streets. This will enable the future development team to proceed immediately to concept design.

In addition to refining the site during the MOU period with O'Reilly, in June 2016, through a recommendation of the Creekside Master Plan and the City's Planning Commission, the City Council approved new parking standards for the Creekside area, consistent with the off-street parking standards in the Regional Center - Old Town (RC-OT). Required off-street parking for a "Temporary Living Quarters (hotels)" is a minimum of zero (0) spaces per guest room with a maximum permitted parking at 1.25 spaces per guest room in the Creekside area. The City's Development Code also permits a variety of shared parking opportunities.

On June 14, 2016, staff provided city council an update to the Beaverton Central Creekside District, **Exhibit G**. The presentation provided the Council an update to the work performed since signing of the ENA and MOU.

Rembold held a Neighborhood Review Meeting on July 11, 2016 with the Central Beaverton Neighborhood Association Committee, **Exhibit H**. The Rembold team introduced its project, listened to community input, and answered questions regarding the proposed mixed use development. The Rembold design and consulting team includes: Angelo Planning - land use consulting services, Ankrom Moisan Architects - architecture services, OTAK - engineering services, and Westlake - survey services.

The City will complete a partition to divide the property into three parcels, of which the southeast corner parcel is the subject parcel of this RFQ. Rembold will submit land use applications and subsequent site and building permits for its two lot mixed use development, with the intent to begin construction in the second quarter of 2017.

#### Of Note:

The site is served by the Beaverton Central Plant, which provides heating and cooling services to the properties at The Round.

For context, **Exhibit C**, includes the original May 2015 Beaverton Central Creekside Redevelopment RFQ and access to all the appendices provided at that time.

#### **Exhibits to RFQ**

Please find all Exhibits related to this RFQ at this link: <a href="http://apps.beavertonoregon.gov/Bids/hotelrfq.aspx">http://apps.beavertonoregon.gov/Bids/hotelrfq.aspx</a>

- Exhibit A: Proposed Partition Plat, Lot 3 is subject site
- Exhibit B: Rembold Properties Site Layout
- Exhibit C: Request for Qualifications: Beaverton Central Creekside Redevelopment, May 2015
- Exhibit D: Center for the Arts City Council Presentation, June 21, 2016
  - o PowerPoint Presentation
  - Video
- Exhibit E: Beaverton Central Creekside Redevelopment Update City Council Presentation, June 14, 2016
  - o PowerPoint Presentation
  - o Video
- Exhibit F: Westgate Framework Redevelopment Plan
- Exhibit G: Beaverton Community Vision Plan, February 2016
- Exhibit H: Rembold Materials Presented to Central Beaverton NAC, July 11, 2016

#### **SECTION 2: REQUIREMENTS, CRITERIA AND RESOURCES**

#### 2.1 Submission Requirements and Evaluation Criteria

Written responses should be succinct. There is no formal page limit on the length of a response, but the city suggests that responses not exceed 25 pages, excluding appendices. Resumes, cut sheets, and other marketing materials may be included in an appendix.

The requirements and criteria stated below guide respondents to provide their best preliminary ideas about hotel development for the site. The city is not interested in reviewing unrealistic development proposals. Rather, it seeks to select the hotel development team with: (1) the most creative and compelling vision for meeting the public goals for the district; (2) the most honest appraisal of feasibility for the development; and (3) the most strategic and targeted approach to pairing public funds with private investment to complete the project. Content must be organized in the following order:

#### **Submittal Requirements**

Proposal Section	Submittal Requirements	Suggested page limits	Total points
Cover letter	Define lead developer entity and team members; highlight key components of the team's vision for the site. Indicate authorized contact and signatory.	2 pages	0
Signature Page	The signature page is found attached at the end of this notice. The submission and signing of the signature page indicates the intention of the Proposer to adhere to the provisions described in this RFQ.	No limit	0
Vision for site	Describe your proposed preliminary development program for the subject site. Include estimated number of rooms, square feet, number of parking spaces (including number potentially shared), urban design aesthetic, and a simple site diagram or massing study identifying the location of building and adjacencies. Do not include architectural renderings or other detailed visualizations.  Describe why you believe this hotel development program is the best solution for the subject property on the Westgate site, the District, and the City of Beaverton.  Describe how the development program furthers the goals of the Creekside Master Plan and the Westgate Framework Plan.  Describe first floor uses including local destination restaurant concept, lobby, and street activation along both Rose Biggi and Crescent.	8 pages	10
	Describe the proposed timeline. Identify what you see as key development challenges.		
Financial capacity of team	Provide evidence of the team's financial capacity and feasibility to complete the proposed development. This could include audited year-end financial statements from all relevant team members, financial statements from successfully completed past projects, or letters of interest from investment partners.  If any information that is provided in this section is proprietary and confidential, please clearly label it as such so that it may be kept confidential. Confidential materials will be	As needed	25
Financial feasibility of vision for site	returned.  Provide preliminary concepts regarding sources (equity and debt, public and private) and uses for achieving your proposed development program, minimizing public investment to the degree practical. As available, provide any non-binding letters of support from financial partners in an appendix.	2 pages	10
	If your team or any member of your team will function as a fee developer, please provide your current thinking about the proposed fee. If any information that is provided in this section is proprietary, please clearly label it as such so that it may be kept confidential. Confidential materials will be returned.		

		Ι.	1 25
Experience with similar public-private	Describe your team's experience with the successful implementation of projects that involve public-private partnerships, with special attention to the following elements of the process:	4 pages	25
partnership	Public and adjacent property owner/stakeholder engagement.		
	Refining a development program in collaboration with a public entity.		
	<ul> <li>Successfully accessing funding sources, including those described in the Partnership Opportunities and Resources section of this RFQ.</li> </ul>		
	Development at a similar scale and in similar urban contexts.		
	Public benefit received from previous development projects.		
Experience of development	Provide an organizational chart for your team that identifies:  • The individual who would be authorized to negotiate on behalf of the team	7 pages	20
team	<ul> <li>The individual who would be responsible for coordinating all team activities during due diligence, including site planning activities</li> </ul>		
	Minority, women and emerging small business representatives on team		
	Experience with LEED certified or other sustainable development		
	Experience entering into and fulfilling Community Benefit Agreements		
	<ul> <li>Provide evidence of the team's qualifications to implement the proposed development program. Resumes for key personnel should be provided in an appendix.</li> </ul>		
References	Please provide contact information for three references from public sector development partners and private partners who worked with the proposed team on similar hotel developments that had public-private partnership elements. References will be contacted and responses scored for all respondents.	1 page	10
Appendices	Appendices should include supporting material to supplement responses to the questions above. There are no requirements for content of appendices and the contents are not scored separately. Any material included in appendices will enhance your team's score on the sections described:  • Resumes for key personnel (will enhance score on experience of the development team)	No limit	0
	<ul> <li>Non-binding letters of support from financial partners (will enhance score for financial resources section of proposal)</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Example financials from a completed project (will enhance score for financial resources section of proposal)</li> </ul>		
	Other materials that may be provided:  • Images of similar completed projects		
	Testimonials from partners or stakeholders		
	Other materials as desired.		
TOTAL		25 pages plus	100 points possible
		appendices	hossinie

#### 2.2 Partnership Opportunities and Resources

The city is interested in working with a development partner who has a proven track record of successful public-private partnerships involving multiple sources of public and private funding and finance. The city has identified various federal, state, local, and private development tools that potential development teams and the city may use to maximize the feasibility of the desired development program.

In particular, please note Metro Regional Government's (Metro) Transit Oriented Development Program <a href="http://www.oregonmetro.gov/tools-partners/grants-and-resources/transit-oriented-development-program">http://www.oregonmetro.gov/tools-partners/grants-and-resources/transit-oriented-development-program</a>. Metro owns 4/9th of the Westgate Theater site. A hotel development project may be eligible for funding from Metro's, Transit Oriented Development Program. Metro's program provides grants for development project funding to stimulate construction of higher-density and mixed-use projects near transit. It is highly recommended to contact Megan Gibb, Metro Development Center Manager (megan.gibb@oregonmetro.gov / 503.797.1753) early in the RFQ conceptual process for further program information.

#### **Other Possible Tools and Partners**

Tool	Partner	Description/Eligibility	Possible Partnership Opportunity
Urban Renewal TIF Funds	COB / BURA	Adopted in 2011, Beaverton's urban renewal area encompasses the entire Creekside District. Urban renewal tax increment funds can be invested in the form of low interest loans and/or grants for a variety of capital investments. In Beaverton, TIF funds cannot be used for public amenities such as parks, but may be used for road and streetscape improvements and parking structures, as well as catalytic private development. Funds have been limited but are growing as the economy recovers and the district matures.	Redevelopment projects, capital improvement loans, streetscape improvements
District Parking	COB / BURA	The city recognizes that the Creekside District requires a parking structure that will serve more than the immediately-adjacent properties. The City has recently completed schematic design for a parking garage at the northeast corner of SW Rose Biggi Avenue and SW Crescent Street.	Parking facility
Public Land Value	COB / Metro	The Westgate site is publicly owned, and a portion of the City's share of the land value could be written down if needed to achieve public goals.	Some possible land write-down could be negotiated through a DDA
Support for infrastructure	Washington County / COB depending on source	Funding sources could be Transportation Development Tax, systems development charge, general fund, or other sources.	Funding source for infrastructure needed for site access
Local Improvement District	СОВ	The formation of a Local Improvement District can be established in order to share the cost of various infrastructure improvements.	Funding option for infrastructure improvements
New Market Tax Credits	NMTC Allocatee (CDE, or Community Development Entity)	This program attracts capital investment to low-income communities by allowing investors to receive a tax credit (against their federal income tax) in return for equity investments in Community Development Entities, which invest in low-income communities. The tax credit is 39% of the original investment, claimed over seven years. The District is within a qualified Census tract.	Qualified developments
Transportation Demand Management	СОВ	The city is interested in exploring a transportation demand management program in the District to maximize the use of the MAX light rail system, provide information on transportation alternatives, and manage on- and off-street parking efficiently. The city has recently approved a modification to the minimum parking standards in the Creekside District, as recommended in the Creekside District Master Plan.	Management of parking facilities and transportation options in the area
Support for environmental review	COB / Metro	A Hazmat Investigation Phase I and limited Phase II Environmental Site Assessment was completed by GeoDesign, report dated October 28, 2005. The report concluded that no further investigation of the site was needed. (See appendix of original BCCR RFQ). Rembolds have subsequently performed recent environmental work on the site.	Environmental site assessment
Business Programs	СОВ	The city's Economic Development Division provides enhanced Permitting & Planning Assistance, Workforce Assistance, and Export Assistance. More info: <a href="http://www.beavertonoregon.gov/index.aspx?NID=178">http://www.beavertonoregon.gov/index.aspx?NID=178</a>	City has a variety of business incentives

#### **SECTION 3: SUBMISSION AND EVALUATION PROCESS**

#### 3.1 RFQ Timeline

The City reserves the right to modify this schedule at the City's discretion. Notification of changes will be made to all interested parties.

Event	<b>Completion Date &amp; Time</b>
Advertisement and release of RFQ	July 20, 2016
Non-mandatory pre-proposal meeting	July 25, 2016
Deadline for RFQ protests or requests for changes	August 15, 2016
Deadline for submission of proposals	August 26, 2016
Evaluation of responses by Review Committee	August 29 - September 2, 2016
Interviews (if necessary)	September 6-12, 2016
Review Committee recommendation of best-qualified	
development team to City Council	September 16, 2016
Council selection based on recommendation of Review Committee	September-October, 2016
Council authorization of Exclusive Negotiations Agreement (ENA)	September-October, 2016
Commence ENA period	October 2016

#### 3.2 Non-Mandatory Pre-Proposal Conference

A non-mandatory pre-proposal conference will be held in connection with this RFQ on July 25, 2016 at 1:30 PM at The Beaverton Building in the Council Chambers on the 1<sup>st</sup> Floor at 12725 SW Millikan Way, Beaverton, OR 97005. Interested proposers may participate by conference call 1-866-809-6529. Please use the Guest Code of 7243642#, but be advised that the site visit will not be an option if conference call option is used.

Questions regarding the RFQ shall be directed to Terry Muralt, Purchasing Agent, at 503-526-2229 or <a href="mailto:tmuralt@beavertonoregon.gov">tmuralt@beavertonoregon.gov</a>. See Section 4 of this RFQ below, for further information regarding how Proposers may ask questions, seek clarifications and request changes to this RFQ.

#### 3.3 Proposal Submittal Addresses and Number of Original and Copies

Submissions in response to the RFQ shall contain <u>one unbound signed original and four (4) complete paper copies, and a CD or jump drive with an electronic copy</u>. Submit the proposal and four (4) copies in one sealed envelope. The name and address of the Proposer must appear on the outside of the envelope. Additionally, in the lower left-hand corner of the outside envelope print or type the following:

RFQ: Hotel Development Opportunity at Westgate Site Close: August 26, 2016 @ 2:00 PM

Proposals delivered by the U.S. Postal Service shall be addressed and mailed to:

Terry Muralt, Purchasing Agent PO Box 4755 Beaverton, OR 97076 Proposals delivered by a delivery service other than the U.S. Postal Service shall be addressed and delivered to:

Terry Muralt, Purchasing Agent 12725 SW Millikan Way Beaverton, OR 97005

Under exigent circumstances, proposals may be addressed to Terry Muralt, Purchasing Agent, and hand delivered to any clerk at the Beaverton Finance Department's utility billing counter located on the fourth floor of the Beaverton Building, 12725 SW Millikan Way, Beaverton, Oregon. Hand delivery to any other location in City Hall will delay the official receipt of the proposal by the City's Purchasing Agent. Proposals received after the designated time and date will be returned unopened. The City does not accept proposals delivered by e-mail or facsimile transmission.

#### 3.4 Submission Deadline

Sealed proposals will be received until 2:00 PM on August 26, 2016. Late, faxed or electronically transmitted proposals shall not be accepted.

#### 3.5 Review Process

Upon receipt of submitted materials, a Review Committee will evaluate and rank all responsive submittals to determine which development team is most qualified. The city anticipates if two or more development teams have a reasonable chance of being determined the best-qualified development team for this particular site, interviews will be scheduled with each of the top-tier development teams to gather more information relevant to the evaluation of qualifications. Development teams not selected for the top-tier interviews will be notified in writing of that determination.

Following interviews with the top-tier development teams, the evaluation team will reevaluate and rank the development teams to determine which team is most qualified to develop this particular site. Scores from the original evaluation will not carry over or affect scoring following the interviews.

The decision to engage in negotiations or discussions with the top-tier development team is made at the sole discretion of the city based on its reasonable judgment. The city reserves the right to negotiate with the second-ranked proposer if the period of the ENA expires without leading to a successful agreement with the first-ranked proposer.

#### 3.6 Award

The selection of a development team is not final until approved by the Beaverton City Council.

#### **SECTION 4: PROPOSER'S SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS**

#### 4.1 Cost of preparing proposals

All costs incurred in preparing and submitting a proposal in response to the RFQ is the responsibility of the Proposer and shall not be reimbursed by Agency.

#### 4.2 Page Limit

There is a suggested limit of 25 pages, excluding appendices, on proposals submitted in response to this procurement.

#### 4.3 Commitment to Sustainability

In an effort to promote greater use of recycled and environmentally preferable products and to minimize waste, the City encourages all proposals submitted in hard copy be prepared simply and economically. The use of special bindings, unnecessary colored displays and irrelevant promotional materials is neither required nor desired. Double-sided printing on recycled paper and/or the use of reusable products is preferred.

#### 4.4 Interpretations and Addenda

All questions regarding this project proposal shall be directed to Terry Muralt, Purchasing Agent, at either 503-526-2229 or <a href="mailto:tmuralt@BeavertonOregon.gov">tmuralt@BeavertonOregon.gov</a>. If necessary, interpretations or clarifications in response to such questions will be made by issuance of an addendum to all prospective Proposers within a reasonable time prior to proposal closing, but in no case less than 72 hours before the proposal closing. If an addendum is necessary after that time, the City will extend the closing date.

Proposers are responsible for checking for addenda on the City's Website. Proposers should visit the City's website in order to register to receive e-mail notification of any addenda issued for this specific proposal document. Upon receipt of the e-mail notification, proposers are responsible to download the document from the "Current Bid & Proposal Opportunities" site at www.beavertonoregon.gov/bids.

<u>Only questions answered by formal written addenda are binding.</u> Oral and other interpretations or clarifications are without legal effect.

#### 4.5 Contract Administrator

The Contract Administrator will be Tyler Ryerson, Senior Development Project Manager who can be reached by telephone at 503-526-2520 or by e-mail at <a href="mailto:tryerson@BeavertonOregon.gov">tryerson@BeavertonOregon.gov</a>. It is expected that if the ENA period is successful, the development team and the City will execute a Disposition and Development Agreement.

#### 4.6 Public Records

If it is necessary to submit trade secrets or other confidential information in order to comply with the terms and conditions of this RFQ, Proposers shall label any information that it wishes to protect from disclosure to third parties as a trade secret under ORS 192.501(2) with the following: "This material constitutes a trade secret under ORS 192.501(2) and is not to be disclosed except as required by law." Each page containing the trade secret or other confidential information must be so marked.

The City shall take reasonable measures to hold in confidence all such labeled information, but shall not be liable for release of any information when required by law or court order to do so, whether pursuant to the Oregon Public Records Law or otherwise and shall also be immune from liability for disclosure or release of information under the circumstances set out in ORS 646.473(3).

In submitting a proposal, each proposer agrees that the city may; (a) reveal any trade secret or other confidential materials contained in the proposal to city staff and to any outside consultant or third party who is hired by the city and (b) post the proposal on the city's intranet for purposes related to its evaluation and ranking. Furthermore, each proposer agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the city and each of its officers, employees, and agents from all costs, damages, and expenses incurred in connection with refusing to disclose any material that the proposer has designated as a trade secret and/or as confidential information. Any proposer that designates its entire proposal as a trade secret may be disqualified.

#### 4.7 Disclaimer

All factual information provided in this request for qualifications is believed to be accurate and reliable; however the information is provided without warranty of any kind whatsoever, either express or implied. In no event will the City of Beaverton, or its contractors, or their respective employees or agents, be liable in contract, tort, strict liability, warranty or otherwise for any special, indirect, incidental, consequential or non-economic damages resulting from or in any way related to this request for qualifications, such as, but not limited to, delay, disruption, loss of product, cost of capital, loss of anticipated profits or revenue, or loss of use of equipment or system.

#### **SECTION 5: SIGNATURE PAGE**

#### [FILL OUT AND SUBMIT THIS PAGE WITH YOUR PROPOSAL]

This page must be signed with the full name and address of the Proposer submitting the response; if a partnership, by a member of the firm with the name and address of each member; if a corporation, by an authorized officer thereof in the corporate name.

The undersigned verifies that he/she is a duly authorized officer of the company with authority to submit this proposal, and that his/her signature attests that information provided in response to this Request for Proposal Solicitation #3115-17B is accurate.

Signature of Authorized Official	Date
Printed Name	Telephone Number (with area code)
Title	E-mail Address
Firm	City of Beaverton Business License No
Address	